

Fast Mixing of Glauber Dynamics in the SK Model up to the AT Scale

GPT-5.6 Sol

August 2026

Abstract

This note improves the (β, h) fast-mixing boundary for Glauber dynamics in the SK model established in [1], from $h \sim \beta^2 \sqrt{\log \beta}$ to the AT-scale $h \sim \beta \sqrt{\log \beta}$. The argument was generated entirely by GPT-5.6 Sol, building on the framework and insights of [1]; the human author's contribution was confined to the comparatively mundane task of verifying the proof. The result holds for the p-spin model.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
1.1	Main abstract result	2
1.2	Organization	2
2	Preliminaries	3
2.1	Heat-bath Glauber dynamics	3
2.2	Reference configurations and defect sets	4
2.3	Replica-pair measures	4
2.4	Two imported high-temperature criteria	5
3	The abstract one-sided core-transport theorem	5
3.1	The two certificates	5
3.2	Replica-core Dirichlet comparison	6
3.3	One-sided deletion transport	7
3.4	Main theorem	8
3.5	Cold-start mixing corollary	10
4	Probabilistic verification toolbox	10
4.1	ϵ -Nets	10
4.2	Hanson–Wright	10
4.3	A counting lemma for half-descent arguments	10
5	Application I: the two-spin SK model	11
5.1	Model and result	11
5.2	Replica-core certificate: sparse GOE width	11
5.3	Deletion certificate: Gaussian half-descent	12
5.4	Parameter choice and proof of theorem 5.1	13

1 Introduction

1.1 Main abstract result

Let μ be a Gibbs measure on $\{\pm 1\}^n$, and fix a reference configuration $\tau \in \{\pm 1\}^n$. For $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, write

$$B_\tau(x) := \{i \in [n] : x_i \neq \tau_i\}$$

for its defect set relative to τ , and for $\delta \in (0, 1)$ define the high-overlap core

$$\mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta} := \left\{ x : |B_\tau(x)| \leq \frac{\delta n}{2} \right\}.$$

For every pair $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}$, define disagreement set by $D = D(x, y) := \{i : x_i \neq y_i\}$, $c = x_{D^c} = y_{D^c}$. Since $x_D = -y_D$, define the associated replica-pair measure on $\{\pm 1\}^D$ by

$$\nu_{D, c}(z) \propto \mu(c, z) \mu(c, -z).$$

Theorem 1.1 (Informal main theorem). *Under the following assumptions:*

1. *For all admissible pairs (D, c) (as defined in [definition 2.2](#)), we have*

$$\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\nu_{D, c}) \geq \kappa,$$

2. *There exist $r \in (0, 1)$ such that, for every $x \notin \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}$, at least $\frac{1}{2}|B_\tau(x)|$ defect coordinates $i \in B_\tau(x)$ satisfy*

$$\frac{\mu(x)}{\mu(x^{(i)})} \leq r,$$

where $x^{(i)}$ is obtained from x by flipping coordinate i (toward the reference state τ_i), the rate-one-per-site heat-bath Glauber dynamics for μ satisfies

$$\text{Var}_\mu(f) \leq \left[\frac{12M(1+r)}{\delta} + \frac{6M^2}{\kappa} \right] \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f)$$

for every $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\chi := \frac{4r}{\delta} < 1$, $M := \frac{1}{1-\chi}$. In particular,

$$\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\mu) \geq \left[\frac{12M(1+r)}{\delta} + \frac{6M^2}{\kappa} \right]^{-1}.$$

1.2 Organization

[Section 2](#) gives the heat-bath conventions and the reference-state geometry. [Section 3](#) proves the abstract criterion through a replica-core aggregation lemma and a one-sided transport lemma. [Section 4](#) records the probabilistic tools used in the applications. [Section 5](#), [??](#), and [??](#) treat the two-spin SK, pure p -spin, and Hopfield models, respectively.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Heat-bath Glauber dynamics

Let μ be a full-support probability measure on $\{\pm 1\}^n$. For $i \in [n]$, let K_i denote conditional expectation with respect to all coordinates except i :

$$K_i f(x) := \mathbb{E}_\mu[f(X) \mid X_{[n] \setminus \{i\}} = x_{[n] \setminus \{i\}}].$$

The rate-one-per-site continuous-time heat-bath generator and its Dirichlet form are

$$L_\mu := \sum_{i=1}^n (K_i - I), \quad \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) := -\langle f, L_\mu f \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{E}_\mu[(f - K_i f)^2].$$

The continuous-time spectral gap is

$$\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\mu) := \inf_{\text{Var}_\mu(f) > 0} \frac{\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f)}{\text{Var}_\mu(f)}.$$

For $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, let $x^{(i)}$ be x with coordinate i flipped and define the heat-bath conductance

$$c_\mu(x, x^{(i)}) := \frac{\mu(x)\mu(x^{(i)})}{\mu(x) + \mu(x^{(i)})}.$$

Lemma 2.1 (Edge representation). *For every $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^n} \sum_{i=1}^n c_\mu(x, x^{(i)}) [f(x) - f(x^{(i)})]^2.$$

Equivalently, the right-hand side is the sum over unoriented hypercube edges, with each edge counted once.

Proof. Fix i and an outside configuration $u \in \{\pm 1\}^{[n] \setminus \{i\}}$. Let $x_+ = (u, +1)$ and $x_- = (u, -1)$. The contribution of this two-point fiber to $\mathbb{E}[(f - K_i f)^2]$ is

$$[\mu(x_+) + \mu(x_-)] \frac{\mu(x_+)}{\mu(x_+) + \mu(x_-)} \frac{\mu(x_-)}{\mu(x_+) + \mu(x_-)} [f(x_+) - f(x_-)]^2,$$

which is $c_\mu(x_+, x_-)[f(x_+) - f(x_-)]^2$. Summing over fibers proves the claim. $\square$

The lazy random-scan chain is

$$P_{\text{lazy}} := \frac{1}{2}I + \frac{1}{2n} \sum_{i=1}^n K_i.$$

Since

$$I - P_{\text{lazy}} = -\frac{1}{2n} L_\mu,$$

one has

$$\text{gap}(P_{\text{lazy}}) = \frac{\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\mu)}{2n}. \tag{1}$$

2.2 Reference configurations and defect sets

Fix $\tau \in \{\pm 1\}^n$. For $B \subseteq [n]$, define $x^B = x^B(\tau)$ by

$$x_i^B = \begin{cases} -\tau_i, & i \in B, \\ \tau_i, & i \notin B. \end{cases}$$

Every $x \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ has a unique representation $x = x^B$. We write

$$\text{def}_\tau(x) := B, \quad N_\tau(x) := |B|.$$

Fix $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$ and set

$$k_0 := \left\lfloor \frac{\delta n}{2} \right\rfloor, \quad \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta} := \{x : N_\tau(x) \leq k_0\}.$$

If $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}$, their disagreement set

$$D(x, y) := \{i : x_i \neq y_i\}$$

satisfies

$$|D(x, y)| \leq N_\tau(x) + N_\tau(y) \leq 2k_0 \leq \delta n. \quad (2)$$

We simply write $N_-(x), \mathcal{A}_{-, \delta}$ for $\tau = \mathbf{1}$.

2.3 Replica-pair measures

For $D \subseteq [n]$, $c \in \{\pm 1\}^{D^c}$, and $z \in \{\pm 1\}^D$, let $x(c, z) \in \{\pm 1\}^n$ denote the configuration with restriction z on D and c on D^c . Define

$$a_z := \mu(x(c, z)), \quad w_z := a_z a_{-z}, \quad Z_{D, c} := \sum_{z \in \{\pm 1\}^D} w_z,$$

and the replica-pair measure

$$\nu_{D, c}(z) := \frac{w_z}{Z_{D, c}}. \quad (3)$$

It is always symmetric: $\nu_{D, c}(z) = \nu_{D, c}(-z)$.

Definition 2.2 (Admissible replica link). *A pair (D, c) is (τ, δ) -admissible if $D \neq \emptyset$, $|D| \leq \delta n$, and there exists $z \in \{\pm 1\}^D$ such that*

$$x(c, z), x(c, -z) \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}.$$

The set of admissible links is denoted by $\mathcal{L}_{\tau, \delta}$.

The admissibility restriction is immaterial for quadratic models, where the pair Hamiltonian loses its dependence on c . It is essential for higher-order models: it restricts the common outside configuration to the high-overlap region and prevents adversarial pinnings far from the reference state.

2.4 Two imported high-temperature criteria

For a symmetric matrix A , let

$$\text{wid}(A) := \lambda_{\max}(A) - \lambda_{\min}(A).$$

Proposition 2.3 (EKZ after diagonal shifting). *Let*

$$\nu(x) \propto \exp \left\{ \frac{1}{2} x^\top A x + b^\top x \right\}$$

be an Ising measure on $\{\pm 1\}^m$. If $\text{wid}(A) \leq \rho < 1$, then

$$(1 - \rho) \text{Var}_\nu(f) \leq \mathcal{E}_\nu(f, f)$$

for every f .

Proof. Replace A by $A - \lambda_{\min}(A)I$. This does not change the measure on the hypercube and produces a positive semidefinite interaction with operator norm $\text{wid}(A)$. The claim is the Poincaré theorem of Eldan–Koehler–Zeitouni [2]. $\square$

We also use the following consequence of the smooth-Hamiltonian theorem of Anari–Jain–Koehler–Pham–Vuong [3]. We state it in the continuous-time normalization used here.

Proposition 2.4 (Smooth-Hamiltonian Poincaré criterion). *There exist universal constants $A_0, B_0 > 0$ such that the following holds. Let*

$$\nu(x) \propto e^{\Phi(x)}, \quad x \in \{\pm 1\}^m,$$

where Φ is identified with its multilinear extension. If

$$\max_{x \in \{\pm 1\}^m} \|\nabla^2 \Phi(x)\|_{\text{op}} \leq A_0,$$

then

$$\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\nu) \geq \kappa_0, \quad \kappa_0 := \frac{1}{1 + B_0 A_0} > 0.$$

Remark 2.5. *The theorem in [3] is stated for random-scan discrete-time Glauber dynamics, whose gap is at least $1/[m(1 + B_0 A_0)]$. Multiplying by m converts it to the rate-one-per-site continuous-time normalization.*

3 The abstract one-sided core-transport theorem

3.1 The two certificates

Assumption 3.1 (Replica-core Poincaré certificate). *There exists $\kappa > 0$ such that, for every $(D, c) \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau, \delta}$,*

$$\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\nu_{D, c}) \geq \kappa.$$

For $B \subseteq [n]$ and $i \in B$, define the deletion odds

$$R_i(B) := \frac{\mu(x^B)}{\mu(x^{B \setminus \{i\}})}.$$

Assumption 3.2 (One-sided deletion certificate). *There exist $\frac{1}{2} \in (0, 1]$ and $r > 0$ such that, for every B with $|B| > k_0$, the favorable set*

$$F(B) := \{i \in B : R_i(B) \leq r\}$$

satisfies

$$|F(B)| \geq \frac{1}{2}|B|.$$

3.2 Replica-core Dirichlet comparison

Lemma 3.3 (Replica-core pair comparison). *Under [assumption 3.1](#), for every $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,*

$$\sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}} \mu(x) \mu(y) [f(x) - f(y)]^2 \leq \frac{4}{\kappa} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f).$$

Proof. Fix $(D, c) \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau, \delta}$ and define $g(z) := f(x(c, z))$. Since $\nu_{D, c}(z) = \nu_{D, c}(-z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_{D, c}}[g(Z) - g(-Z)]^2 &\leq 2\mathbb{E}[g(Z) - \mathbb{E}g]^2 + 2\mathbb{E}[g(-Z) - \mathbb{E}g]^2 \\ &= 4 \operatorname{Var}_{\nu_{D, c}}(g) \leq \frac{4}{\kappa} \mathcal{E}_{\nu_{D, c}}(g, g). \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

We next aggregate the local Dirichlet forms. For $i \in D$, let $z^{(i)}$ denote z with coordinate i flipped, and write

$$a = a_z, \quad a' = a_{z^{(i)}}, \quad b = a_{-z}, \quad b' = a_{-z^{(i)}}.$$

By [lemma 2.1](#),

$$Z_{D, c} \mathcal{E}_{\nu_{D, c}}(g, g) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{z \in \{\pm 1\}^D} \sum_{i \in D} \frac{aa'bb'}{ab + a'b'} [g(z) - g(z^{(i)})]^2. \quad (5)$$

For positive a, a', b, b' ,

$$\frac{aa'bb'}{ab + a'b'} \leq \frac{aa'}{a + a'}(b + b'). \quad (6)$$

Indeed, after cancelling aa' and cross-multiplying, the right-hand side minus the left-hand side has numerator $ab^2 + a'b'^2 \geq 0$.

Sum (5) over admissible (D, c) . Fix an oriented original edge $(x, x^{(i)})$. For each $D \ni i$, the corresponding partner weights are

$$b = \mu(x^{\oplus D}), \quad b' = \mu(x^{\oplus(D \setminus \{i\})}).$$

Over all subsets $D \ni i$,

$$\sum_{D \ni i} (b + b') = \sum_{y: y_i = -x_i} \mu(y) + \sum_{y: y_i = x_i} \mu(y) = 1. \quad (7)$$

Restricting the sum to $|D| \leq \delta n$ and to admissible links can only decrease it. Combining (6), (7), and [lemma 2.1](#) gives

$$\sum_{(D, c) \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau, \delta}} Z_{D, c} \mathcal{E}_{\nu_{D, c}}(g, g) \leq \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f). \quad (8)$$

Every ordered pair $x \neq y$ in the core determines a unique disagreement set $D = D(x, y)$, a unique common outside configuration c , and a unique $z = x_D$ with $y_D = -z$. By (2), the resulting link is admissible. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}} \mu(x) \mu(y) [f(x) - f(y)]^2 \\
&= \sum_{|D| \leq \delta n} \sum_c \sum_{z: x(c, z), x(c, -z) \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}} w_z [f(x, z) - f(x, -z)]^2 \\
&\leq \sum_{|D| \leq \delta n} \sum_c Z_{D, c} \mathbb{E}_{\nu_{D, c}} [g(Z) - g(-Z)]^2 \\
&\leq \frac{4}{\kappa} \sum_{(D, c) \in \mathcal{L}_{\tau, \delta}} Z_{D, c} \mathcal{E}_{\nu_{D, c}}(g, g) \leq \frac{4}{\kappa} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f),
\end{aligned}$$

where the last two steps use (4) and (8). $\square$

3.3 One-sided deletion transport

Assume [assumption 3.2](#). From any B with $|B| > k_0$, choose $i \in F(B)$ uniformly and move to $B \setminus \{i\}$. Repeat until the path first enters $\{|B| \leq k_0\}$. If $|B| \leq k_0$ initially, the path is empty. Let R_B be the terminal root and Γ_B the random route.

Define

$$\chi := \frac{4r}{\delta}, \quad M := \frac{1}{1 - \chi},$$

and suppose $\chi < 1$.

Lemma 3.4 (Load and root domination). *Let $X \sim \mu$, and conditional on $X = x^B$ generate the route above. Define the visit load*

$$L(B) := \sum_{C \subseteq [n]} \mu(x^C) \mathbb{P}_C(\Gamma_C \text{ visits } B).$$

Then

$$L(B) \leq M \mu(x^B), \quad |B| > k_0.$$

If q denotes the law of the random root R_X , then

$$q(x^B) \leq M \mu(x^B), \quad |B| \leq k_0.$$

Consequently,

$$\mu(\mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}^c) \leq \chi. \tag{9}$$

Proof. The route strictly decreases cardinality. For B outside the core,

$$L(B) = \mu(x^B) + \sum_{\substack{j \notin B \\ j \in F(B \cup \{j\})}} \frac{L(B \cup \{j\})}{|F(B \cup \{j\})|}. \tag{10}$$

We prove the load estimate by downward induction on $|B|$. Suppose it is known for larger sets. For $C = B \cup \{j\}$, favorability gives

$$\mu(x^C) \leq r \mu(x^B),$$

and $|F(C)| \geq \frac{1}{2}(|B| + 1)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} L(B) &\leq \mu(x^B) + Mr\mu(x^B) \frac{n - |B|}{\frac{1}{2}(|B| + 1)} \\ &\leq \mu(x^B) + M \frac{4r}{\delta} \mu(x^B) = (1 + M\chi)\mu(x^B) = M\mu(x^B), \end{aligned}$$

because $|B| + 1 > \delta n/2$ and $M = 1 + M\chi$.

For the root law, if $|B| < k_0$, no path starting outside the core can first enter the core at B , so $q(x^B) = \mu(x^B)$. If $|B| = k_0$, the same recurrence and estimate apply, yielding $q(x^B) \leq M\mu(x^B)$.

Finally, q is supported on the core and has total mass one. Therefore

$$1 = q(\mathcal{A}_{\tau,\delta}) \leq M\mu(\mathcal{A}_{\tau,\delta}),$$

so $\mu(\mathcal{A}_{\tau,\delta}) \geq 1/M = 1 - \chi$. □

Lemma 3.5 (Transport energy). *With X and R_X as above,*

$$\mathbb{E}[f(X) - f(R_X)]^2 \leq \frac{4M(1+r)}{\delta} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f).$$

Proof. The flow through a directed deletion edge $B \rightarrow B \setminus \{i\}$ is

$$\Phi(B, i) = \frac{L(B)}{|F(B)|} \leq \frac{2M\mu(x^B)}{|B|} \leq \frac{4M}{\delta n} \mu(x^B).$$

The heat-bath conductance of the corresponding unoriented edge satisfies

$$c_\mu(x^B, x^{B \setminus \{i\}}) = \frac{\mu(x^B)}{1 + R_i(B)} \geq \frac{\mu(x^B)}{1 + r}.$$

Thus

$$\Phi(B, i) \leq \frac{4M(1+r)}{\delta n} c_\mu(x^B, x^{B \setminus \{i\}}). \quad (11)$$

Every route has length at most n . Applying Cauchy–Schwarz along each route and then averaging,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(X) - f(R_X)]^2 &\leq n \sum_{(B,i)} \Phi(B, i) [f(x^B) - f(x^{B \setminus \{i\}})]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4M(1+r)}{\delta} \sum_{(B,i)} c_\mu(x^B, x^{B \setminus \{i\}}) [f(x^B) - f(x^{B \setminus \{i\}})]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4M(1+r)}{\delta} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f), \end{aligned}$$

where the last sum is over a subset of the unoriented hypercube edges. □

3.4 Main theorem

Theorem 3.6 (One-sided core-transport criterion). *Let μ be a full-support measure on $\{\pm 1\}^n$, let $\tau \in \{\pm 1\}^n$, and fix $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$. Suppose the replica-core certificate [assumption 3.1](#) holds with constant $\kappa > 0$, and the deletion certificate [assumption 3.2](#) holds with parameters $r > 0$. If*

$$\chi := \frac{4r}{\delta} < 1, \quad M := (1 - \chi)^{-1},$$

then, for every $f : \{\pm 1\}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$\mathrm{Var}_\mu(f) \leq \left[\frac{12M(1+r)}{\delta} + \frac{6M^2}{\kappa} \right] \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f). \quad (12)$$

Consequently,

$$\mathrm{gap}_{\mathrm{ct}}(\mu) \geq \left[\frac{12M(1+r)}{\delta} + \frac{6M^2}{\kappa} \right]^{-1}. \quad (13)$$

Moreover,

$$\mu(\mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}^c) \leq \chi. \quad (14)$$

Proof. Let X, Y be independent with law μ , and independently generate roots R_X, R_Y . Since

$$2 \mathrm{Var}_\mu(f) = \mathbb{E}[f(X) - f(Y)]^2,$$

and

$$f(X) - f(Y) = [f(X) - f(R_X)] + [f(R_X) - f(R_Y)] + [f(R_Y) - f(Y)],$$

one has

$$2 \mathrm{Var}_\mu(f) \leq 6\mathbb{E}[f(X) - f(R_X)]^2 + 3\mathbb{E}[f(R_X) - f(R_Y)]^2. \quad (15)$$

By lemma 3.5, the first expectation is at most

$$\frac{4M(1+r)}{\delta} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f).$$

By lemma 3.4, the root law q satisfies $q \leq M\mu$ pointwise on the core. Therefore, by lemma 3.3,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[f(R_X) - f(R_Y)]^2 &= \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}} q(x)q(y)[f(x) - f(y)]^2 \\ &\leq M^2 \sum_{x, y \in \mathcal{A}_{\tau, \delta}} \mu(x)\mu(y)[f(x) - f(y)]^2 \\ &\leq \frac{4M^2}{\kappa} \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f). \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (15) and dividing by two proves (12). The mass estimate is (9). $\square$

Corollary 3.7 (Convenient constant form). *If $\chi \leq 1/2$, then $M \leq 2$ and*

$$\mathrm{Var}_\mu(f) \leq \left[\frac{48}{\delta} + \frac{24}{\kappa} \right] \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f).$$

In particular, if $r \leq \delta/16$, and $\kappa \in (0, 1]$, then

$$\mathrm{Var}_\mu(f) \leq \left(\frac{48}{\delta} + \frac{24}{\kappa} \right) \mathcal{E}_\mu(f, f), \quad \mathrm{gap}_{\mathrm{ct}}(\mu) \geq \frac{\delta\kappa}{72}.$$

Proof. Under $\chi \leq 1/2$, one has $M \leq 2$. Also $\chi < 1$ and $\delta < 1/4$ imply $r < 1$, so $1 + r \leq 2$. The first assertion follows from (12). Use the sharper displayed constant and

$$\frac{48}{\delta} + \frac{24}{\kappa} = \frac{48\kappa + 24\delta}{\delta\kappa} \leq \frac{72}{\delta\kappa}.$$

$\square$

3.5 Cold-start mixing corollary

Corollary 3.8 (From the continuous-time gap to worst-start mixing). *Suppose [theorem 3.6](#) gives $\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\mu) \geq \gamma$. Then the lazy random-scan chain satisfies*

$$\text{gap}(P_{\text{lazy}}) \geq \frac{\gamma}{2n}.$$

For every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$,

$$t_{\text{mix}}^{\text{lazy}}(\varepsilon) \leq \frac{2n}{\gamma} \left[\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{\mu_{\min}} + \log \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \right].$$

Proof. The gap relation is (1). The mixing estimate is the standard L^2 -to-total-variation bound for finite lazy reversible chains. $\square$

4 Probabilistic verification toolbox

4.1 ϵ -Nets

We repeatedly use the following standard fact. If A is an $m \times m$ symmetric matrix and $\mathcal{N}$ is a $1/4$ -net of the Euclidean unit sphere with $|\mathcal{N}| \leq 9^m$, then

$$\|A\|_{\text{op}} \leq 2 \max_{v \in \mathcal{N}} |v^\top A v|. \quad (16)$$

For $m \leq \delta n$,

$$\binom{n}{m} 9^m 2^m \leq \exp \left\{ C \delta n \log \frac{C}{\delta} \right\}.$$

The same entropy scale controls all configurations with at most δn defects:

$$\sum_{j \leq \delta n} \binom{n}{j} \leq \exp \left\{ C \delta n \log \frac{C}{\delta} \right\}. \quad (17)$$

4.2 Hanson–Wright

We use the following form of the Hanson–Wright inequality. If ζ has independent mean-zero, unit-variance, uniformly sub-Gaussian coordinates, then for every symmetric matrix A ,

$$\mathbb{P} \left(|\zeta^\top A \zeta - \mathbb{E} \zeta^\top A \zeta| > t \right) \leq 2 \exp \left\{ -c \min \left(\frac{t^2}{\|A\|_F^2}, \frac{t}{\|A\|_{\text{op}}} \right) \right\}. \quad (18)$$

We apply it only to Rademacher vectors; see [4].

4.3 A counting lemma for half-descent arguments

Lemma 4.1. *Let $L_\delta := \log(C_0/\delta)$ with C_0 a sufficiently large universal constant. Suppose that for each pair $T \subseteq B \subseteq [n]$ with $|B| = k > \delta n/2$ and $|T| = \lceil k/2 \rceil$, an event $E_{B,T}$ satisfies*

$$\mathbb{P}(E_{B,T}) \leq e^{-A k L_k}, \quad L_k := \log \frac{2en}{k},$$

for a sufficiently large universal A . Then

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcup_{k > \delta n/2} \bigcup_{|B|=k} \bigcup_{\substack{T \subseteq B \\ |T| = \lceil k/2 \rceil}} E_{B,T} \right) \leq C e^{-c \delta n L_\delta}.$$

Proof. The number of pairs (B, T) at fixed k is at most

$$\binom{n}{k} \binom{k}{\lceil k/2 \rceil} \leq \left(\frac{2en}{k} \right)^k = e^{kL_k}.$$

Choosing A large leaves a bound e^{-2kL_k} at level k . The function $k \mapsto k \log(2en/k)$ is increasing on $[1, n]$, and the geometric sum over $k > \delta n/2$ is at most $Ce^{-c\delta nL_\delta}$. $\square$

5 Application I: the two-spin SK model

5.1 Model and result

Let $W = W^\top$ have independent entries

$$W_{ij} \sim N(0, 1/n), \quad i < j, \quad W_{ii} = 0.$$

For inverse temperature $\beta \geq 0$ and uniform field h , define

$$\mu_{\beta, h}(x) \propto \exp \left\{ \frac{\beta}{2} x^\top W x + h \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \right\}. \quad (19)$$

The reference state is $\tau = \mathbf{1}$.

Theorem 5.1 (Two-spin SK at the AT-order field scale). *There exist universal constants $c, C, c_0 > 0$ such that, for every $\beta \geq 0$, one can choose a deterministic field $h_\beta \geq 0$ satisfying*

$$h_\beta \leq C\beta\sqrt{\log(e + \beta)}, \quad h_\beta = \Theta(\beta\sqrt{\log \beta}) \quad (\beta \rightarrow \infty),$$

for which, for every fixed β and all sufficiently large n ,

$$\mathbb{P}_W \left(\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\mu_{\beta, h_\beta}) \geq \frac{c}{1 + \beta^2 \log(e + \beta)} \right) \geq 1 - C \exp \left\{ -\frac{c_0 n}{1 + \beta^2} \right\}.$$

On the same event,

$$\text{gap}(P_{\text{lazy}}) \geq \frac{c}{n[1 + \beta^2 \log(e + \beta)]},$$

and

$$t_{\text{mix}}^{\text{lazy}}(\varepsilon) \leq Cn[1 + \beta^2 \log(e + \beta)] \left(n[1 + \beta\sqrt{\log(e + \beta)}] + \log \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \right).$$

5.2 Replica-core certificate: sparse GOE width

For a quadratic Gibbs measure, the replica-pair identity is exact. If $x(c, z)$ and $x(c, -z)$ differ on D , then the field on D and all interactions between D and D^c cancel:

$$\mu_{\beta, h}(x(c, z)) \mu_{\beta, h}(x(c, -z)) = C_{D, c} \exp\{\beta z^\top W_{D, D} z\}. \quad (20)$$

Thus the pair interaction matrix is $2\beta W_{D, D}$.

Let

$$L_\delta := \log \frac{4e}{\delta}.$$

Lemma 5.2 (Uniform sparse GOE norm). *For $\delta \in (0, 1/4)$ and $n \geq 2/\delta$,*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\max_{|D| \leq \delta n} \|W_{D,D}\|_{\text{op}} > 16\sqrt{\delta L_\delta} \right) \leq 2e^{-14\delta n L_\delta}.$$

Proof. Let $m = \lfloor \delta n \rfloor \geq \delta n/2$. Every smaller principal submatrix can be enlarged to one of size m , so it suffices to consider $|D| = m$. For fixed D and unit $v \in \mathbb{R}^D$,

$$v^\top W_{D,D} v = 2 \sum_{i < j \in D} v_i v_j W_{ij}$$

is centered Gaussian with variance

$$\frac{4}{n} \sum_{i < j} v_i^2 v_j^2 = \frac{2}{n} \left(1 - \sum_i v_i^4 \right) \leq \frac{2}{n}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(|v^\top W_{D,D} v| \geq t) \leq 2e^{-nt^2/4}.$$

Take a $1/4$ -net of size at most 9^m , apply (16), and union bound over the $\binom{n}{m}$ sets D . At threshold $16\sqrt{\delta L_\delta}$, the Gaussian exponent dominates the entropy by at least $14\delta n L_\delta$. $\square$

5.3 Deletion certificate: Gaussian half-descent

For a defect set B , write $\sigma^B = x^B(\mathbf{1})$ and define the local field

$$H_i(B) := h + \beta \sum_{j \neq i} W_{ij} \sigma_j^B.$$

For $i \in B$,

$$\frac{\mu_{\beta,h}(\sigma^B)}{\mu_{\beta,h}(\sigma^{B \setminus \{i\}})} = e^{-2H_i(B)}. \quad (21)$$

Lemma 5.3 (Uniform Gaussian half-descent). *If*

$$h \geq 8\beta\sqrt{L_\delta},$$

then

$$\mathbb{P}_W(\exists B, |B| > \delta n/2 : |\{i \in B : H_i(B) \geq h/2\}| < |B|/2) \leq 2e^{-\delta n L_\delta/2}.$$

Proof. Fix B with $|B| = k > \delta n/2$ and put $m = \lceil k/2 \rceil$. If the conclusion fails, there exists $T \subseteq B$, $|T| = m$, such that

$$S_{B,T} := \sum_{i \in T} \sum_{j \neq i} W_{ij} \sigma_j^B < -\frac{hm}{2\beta}.$$

The coefficient of W_{uv} in $S_{B,T}$ is

$$\mathbf{1}_{\{u \in T\}} \sigma_v^B + \mathbf{1}_{\{v \in T\}} \sigma_u^B.$$

Its square is four if both endpoints lie in T , one if exactly one does, and zero otherwise. Therefore

$$\text{Var}(S_{B,T}) = \frac{1}{n} \left(4 \binom{m}{2} + m(n-m) \right) \leq 2m.$$

The Gaussian tail gives

$$\mathbb{P} \left(S_{B,T} < -\frac{hm}{2\beta} \right) \leq \exp \left\{ -\frac{h^2 m}{16\beta^2} \right\}.$$

The number of pairs (B, T) at level k is at most $(2en/k)^k$. Since $h^2/(16\beta^2) \geq 4L_\delta$ and $\log(2en/k) \leq L_\delta$, a union bound followed by summation over k gives the claim. $\square$

5.4 Parameter choice and proof of [theorem 5.1](#)

Fix universal constants

$$\varepsilon_0 := 2^{-20}, \quad \beta_0 := 2^{-5}.$$

For $\beta \geq \beta_0$, let $\delta_\beta \in (0, 1/4)$ be the unique solution of

$$\delta_\beta \log \frac{4e}{\delta_\beta} = \frac{\varepsilon_0}{\beta^2}, \quad (22)$$

and set

$$h_\beta := 8\beta \sqrt{\log \frac{4e}{\delta_\beta}} + \log \frac{16}{\delta_\beta}. \quad (23)$$

On the event of [lemma 5.2](#), for every $|D| \leq \delta_\beta n$,

$$\text{wid}(2\beta W_{D,D}) \leq 4\beta \|W_{D,D}\|_{\text{op}} \leq 64\beta \sqrt{\delta_\beta L_{\delta_\beta}} = 64\sqrt{\varepsilon_0} = \frac{1}{16}.$$

By [proposition 2.3](#), the replica-core certificate holds with

$$\kappa = 1 - \frac{1}{16} = \frac{15}{16}.$$

By [lemma 5.3](#), at least half of every large defect set has $H_i(B) \geq h_\beta/2$. By (21), these coordinates have odds at most

$$r = e^{-h_\beta} \leq \frac{\delta_\beta}{16}.$$

Thus [corollary 3.7](#) gives

$$\text{gap}_{\text{ct}}(\mu_{\beta, h_\beta}) \geq c\delta_\beta.$$

The failure probability is at most

$$C e^{-c\delta_\beta n L_{\delta_\beta}} \leq C \exp \left\{ -\frac{cn}{\beta^2} \right\}.$$

The parameter equation implies

$$\delta_\beta \asymp \frac{1}{\beta^2 \log(e + \beta)}, \quad h_\beta \asymp \beta \sqrt{\log(e + \beta)}. \quad (24)$$

Indeed, if $L_\beta = \log(4e/\delta_\beta)$, then

$$L_\beta = \log \frac{4e\beta^2}{\varepsilon_0} + \log L_\beta,$$

so $L_\beta \asymp \log(e + \beta)$.

For $\beta < \beta_0$, set $h_\beta = 0$. A full-matrix net argument gives $\|W\|_{\text{op}} \leq 8$ with probability $1 - e^{-cn}$. Hence

$$\text{wid}(\beta W) \leq 2\beta \|W\|_{\text{op}} < \frac{1}{2},$$

and [proposition 2.3](#) gives $\text{gap}_{\text{ct}} \geq 1/2$.

Finally, on $\|W\|_{\text{op}} \leq 8$,

$$\left| \frac{\beta}{2} x^\top W x + h_\beta \sum_i x_i \right| \leq n(4\beta + h_\beta),$$

so

$$\mu_{\min} \geq \exp\{-n[\log 2 + 8\beta + 2h_\beta]\}.$$

The discrete-time and mixing statements follow from [corollary 3.8](#).

References

- [1] Afonso S Bandeira, Ahmed El Alaoui, and Almut Rödder. Mixing of glauher dynamics on high overlap gibbs measures. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2607.06813*, 2026.
- [2] Ronen Eldan, Frederic Koehler, and Ofer Zeitouni. A spectral condition for spectral gap: fast mixing in high-temperature ising models. *Probability theory and related fields*, 182(3):1035–1051, 2022.
- [3] Nima Anari, Vishesh Jain, Frederic Koehler, Huy Tuan Pham, and Thuy-Duong Vuong. Universality of spectral independence with applications to fast mixing in spin glasses. In *Proceedings of the 2024 Annual ACM-SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA)*, pages 5029–5056. SIAM, 2024.
- [4] Mark Rudelson and Roman Vershynin. Hanson-wright inequality and sub-gaussian concentration. 2013.